

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,722	1	10/24/2000	Sean Dominic Taylor	M-8835 US	3024
24251	7590	02/26/2002			
SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON LLP 25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700				EXAMINER NGUYEN, HUNG T	
				2632	
				DATE MAILED: 02/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Syl

>iy	

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/696,722

Hung Nguyen T

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Sean Dominic Taylor
Art Unit

2632



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>Feb 5, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a fine rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition f allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a) X The period for reply expires3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the fin rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origing set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief wit requisite fees.
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Both Segal and Mowery disclose the "wide area network" by the wireless communication system [Segal , col.4, lines 6-12 and Mowery col.5. lines 3-10]. The Final Rejection of Alaines 1-8-3-22 will be a seried to the second of t
 lines 6-12 and Mowery, col.5, lines 2-10]. The Final Rejection of claims 1 & 3-23 will be remained in the record. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raise by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
Claim(s) allowed: None
Claim(s) objected to: None
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-23
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on all has not the has not the flag proved by the Exam
10 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO 1440) Page No(s)
70Ertjin norsass
11. U Other: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600